

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. It's also anti-democracy and profoundly anti-American. The media is obligated to be objective, to show both sides of an issue. So why is Sinclair allowed to continue such a flagrant violation of public trust and basic fairness?

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.